**HOW TO WRITE A GOOD LATE-BREAKING ABSTRACT**

**Special conditions for Late-Breaking abstract**
Abstracts that are considered as late-breaking must contain novel data and this data must became available for public dissemination only after the standard abstract deadline on 14 February 2019.
Only abstracts having a major impact on clinical practice or scientific reasoning will be accepted.
The study and results reported should be novel and not simply an extension of previously published work.
The late-breaking abstract round is not designed to favor investigators who unintentionally missed the deadline for the regular abstract submission.

**Why write an abstract?**
An abstract is a shortened version of the first draft of a paper. It is important for several reasons: it provides the first chance for you to announce and cite the preliminary findings of your study; it allows you to communicate your findings to your colleagues and get their feedback; it is the starting point for achieving the ultimate aim of a research project, the writing and publishing of a full paper in peer-reviewed literature.

**Useful tips that will help get your abstract accepted by ERS**
The aim of ERS events is to support exchange of knowledge among the international respiratory community. Writing an abstract for an ERS event is an important way for you to communicate your scientific research or clinical practice with your peers and colleagues.
ERS receives several thousand abstracts every year for presentation at the Congress or at Conferences. Each of these abstracts is evaluated by three independent reviewers and scored (1-6) on its content and scientific merit.
The following information will give you some practical advice about writing an abstract, to give your work the best possible chance of being rated highly by the reviewers and selected by the International Congress Programme Committee.

**Structure of an abstract**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>The title should be an accurate description of the abstract's contents. It should explain as much as possible about the context and the aims of the study. Ideally, the title should be about 10–12 words long, and should include the scope of the investigation, the study design and the goal. In general, it is preferable to make the title a description of what was investigated rather than a statement of the results or conclusions. The abstract's title should be easy for the reader to understand and should not include jargon or unfamiliar acronyms or abbreviations. The title should not be in capital letters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>The list of authors should be restricted to those individuals who carried out the study, conceived it, designed it, gathered the data, analysed the numbers and wrote the abstract. The author who will present the abstract should be listed first. Every listed author should read and approve the abstract before it is submitted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Main text**

A good abstract should address the five following questions in the relevant sections:

1. "Why is this subject important and what was your motivation?" – **Introduction or background**

   You should summarise, preferably in one sentence, the current knowledge about your field of work and why it is important.

2. "What did you try to do?" – **Aims and objectives**

   Here, you should state the aim of the study, and ideally include a short statement of the study's hypothesis. A legitimate scientific study is not done "to prove that something is true" but rather "to find out whether it is true." The difference may seem small, but this is a vital point to be aware of. A formal hypothesis shows that you were objective.

3. "What did you do?" – **Methods**

   In an abstract, the description of the methods must be concise, and a lot of the detail and descriptions of the method should be omitted. In a few short sentences, you can give the reader an idea of the design of the study, the context in which it was done, and the types of patients or measurements that were included.

4. "What did you find?" – **Results**

   It is important to give the main results of the study, not just in subjective terms ("We found device X to be superior to device Y") but also in the form of some real data. You will need to choose which findings to report here: it should be the most important data in your study, and the findings on which your conclusions will be based. Do not include a table or figure unless you need it to show your results.

5. "What does it mean?" – **Conclusions**

   Here, space limitations generally limit you to a single sentence of why you think your findings are important, and their potential implications. Keep your conclusions reasonable and supportable by the findings of your study. Remember that if your study was restricted to certain patients, or a particular therapy, or a specific device, its results may not extend beyond these restrictions.

---

**General advice for writing abstracts**

**Follow the instructions**

By following these published guidelines for submission to the ERS events, you will ensure the best possible chance for review and presentation

**Use simple and short sentences**

Unless they are basic, universally accepted abbreviations, like ARDS or FEV1, acronyms and abbreviations should be spelled out the first time they are used in the abstract. Similarly, local expressions and jargon should be kept out of the abstract. Keep in mind that healthcare practice varies from country to country.

**Ask your colleagues**

Before the abstract is submitted, it should be double-checked for accuracy, not only of the data reported but also the description of the methods and all other details. Special attention should be paid
to tables and figures. It can be extremely helpful for one or more colleagues (who were not involved in the study) to read the abstract and offer constructive criticism.

GUIDELINES FOR THE ONLINE SUBMISSION

**Deadline:** All abstracts must be submitted by **Thursday, 31 May 2019 (23:59 CET)**

1. Abstracts must contain original data and meet international ethical standards. By submitting an abstract, you confirm that the content of the abstract is free from plagiarism, and that you agree to have your abstract submitted to a plagiarism detection software programme, if needed.
2. A maximum of three abstracts may be submitted by the same presenting author.
3. An identical or too similar abstract cannot be submitted several times to distinct groups. It can only be submitted once, to one group.
4. Click the buttons on the left side of the screen and complete each section by following the instructions within each section.
5. The Abstract Title must begin with a capital letter and be followed by lowercase letters. Use capital and lower case letters as appropriate for abbreviations in title (e.g. mRNA).
6. Trade names should not be mentioned in the title. However, trade names in brackets will be accepted in the body of the text.
7. References (maximum of 2) can be included in the body of the text (e.g. Jones, R.A. et al. Science 1986; 67:24-30).
8. Linguistic accuracy is your responsibility.
9. Abbreviations should be defined.
10. Avoid a sweeping or potentially unwarranted final sentence.
11. Copyright, if this abstract is accepted, will be held by the European Respiratory Society.
12. The consent of abstracts’ authors is requested on the submission platform, for ERS journal editors to have access to late-breaking abstracts before the ERS International Congress.

**LENGTH**
The maximum length of an abstract is **1,810** characters including characters and spaces in the following: Abstract Title, Abstract Body, Table, and Figures. N.B. if you insert a table, one character will be deducted per cell. If you insert an image/picture/figure, it will deduct **277** characters.

**GROUP**
You must select one preferred group to which your abstract applies. You cannot submit an identical abstract to different groups. The ERS Paris Programme Committee reserves the right to change the designation in order to achieve a well-balanced scientific programme.

**NOTICE OF SELECTION**
Abstracts will be accepted for abstract sessions, with the ERS Paris Programme Committee reserving the right to allocate abstracts to either oral presentations, poster discussion or thematic poster sessions. Notice of selection will be given in July 2019, together with notice of scheduled format and time of presentation.

**CONGRESS PUBLICATIONS**
Accepted and presented at the ERS Congress abstracts will be published in a supplement of the European Respiratory Journal. Publication in the Abstract Book requires registration by the presenting author. For further information on programme and registration, please visit the ERS Website - [www.erscongress.org](http://www.erscongress.org)
The full content of the abstracts accepted will be available on the ERS website and Congress App one week before the start of the Congress.

**ERS SPONSORSHIP**
No sponsorship are offered for Late-breaking abstracts
INSTRUCTIONS ON SUBMISSION PLATFORM

Login to myERS and go into the “abstracts” section. You will be directed to the online abstract submission platform.

This section contains information to guide you through the online submission process. We recommend that you print this page and use it as a reference during this process.

- Complete each section. Be sure to carefully follow the instructions within each section.
- Save your work by clicking on the “Save” button. This does not submit your abstract for review. Be sure to save your work before logging off the site.
- You can log off at any time without submitting your abstract and return to continue your work at another time. As long as you do not submit the abstract, it can be edited and revised online as many times as needed. If you give your username and password to another person, they will have access to edit all abstracts you have not submitted for review.
- Verify that your abstract is correct by clicking “Preview Abstract” on the left side of the screen.
- Click on "Submit Abstract", when you are sure that your abstract is complete and in final form.
- Print out and proofread the abstract preview to verify that it is correct before completing the submission process.
- After the notification of acceptance or rejection, you will be able to modify the list of authors until 1 week prior to the start of Congress, after which your abstract will be published online. To ensure all changes are considered please make sure the changes are done in due time, at least one month prior the Congress starts to ensure the abstract is updated on all platforms.

More information, consult the ERS FAQs:

Thank you for submitting a Late-Breaking Abstract to ERS.